

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/022,020 | ZIEGLER ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Inder P. Mehra | 2666 | |

All Participants:

(1) Inder P. Mehra.

(2) Bryan W. Bockhop, Attorney, Regd. No. 39,613.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 23 February 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Discussed antecedent Basis in claims 4, 11 and 17

Claims discussed:

Claims 4, 11 and 17

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Discussed antecedent Basis in claims 4, 11 and 17

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Inder Pal Mehra 2/23/06

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)